UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.	,)	
ALBERT EUGENE HARDY, JR.	Case No:	DNCW107CR000010-001
Date of Original Judgment: January 30, 2008) USM No:	21925-058
Date of Last Amended Judgment: N/A	Federal Def	 ender
Date of East Amended Sadgment. 14/11	Defendant's Attorney	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Re	eduction Pursu	iant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment improvements been lowered and made retroactive by the Unite § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking integrand the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	posed based on ed States Sente o account the p	a guideline sentencing range that has encing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. olicy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
	previously imp	osed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of	is reduc	eed to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG	TF (Prior to Any	Departures)
Original Offense Level: 31		Offense Level: 27
Criminal History Category: V		istory Category: V
Original Guideline Range: 240 months		Guideline Range: 240 months
 The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rand The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less that of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less. The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rand Other (explain): Due to the statutory mandatory minimum guideline calculations. 	n the guideline s than the amen ge.	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dat	ed <u>January 3</u>	0, 2008, shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Order Date: August 10, 2012	2	June 1.
Effective Date:(if different from order date)		n Reidinger d States District Judge